Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
	10/656,644	BROAD, KERRY	CHARLES
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ernesto Garcia	3679	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Ernesto Garcia</u> .	(3)	·	
(2) Stephen B. Schott.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 8 January 2007	Time: <u>1:50PM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 U.S.C 112(2 nd) rejection			
Claims discussed: claim 1			
Prior art documents discussed: N/A			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT V	VAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	ne examiner will provide a ware record of the substance of	vritten summary of the the interview, since	he substance
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	nt/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appr	opriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The preamble of claim 1 was discussed to clarify a conflict with the body of claim 1. Since the stairs (or steps), which are positively claimed as part of the claimed invention, are recited in the body, the preamble required modification. Accordingly, the preamble of claim 1 was suggested to be deleted. A further change to claim 1 was discussed. The examiner suggested to add the "stairs" as one of the features of the mechanism of claim 1.